
**ISSN:** 2456-2912
VET 2024; SP-9(3): 76-84

www.veterinarypaper.com
Received: 07-02-2024
Accepted: 16-03-2024

**Rishav Kumar**
Department of Livestock Products Technology, College of Veterinary Sciences and AH, DUVASU, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Ankit Sharma**
Department of Livestock Production Management, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, GBPUAT, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand, India

**Corresponding Author:**
**Rishav Kumar**
Department of Livestock Products Technology, College of Veterinary Sciences and AH, DUVASU, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh, India

# Deciphering new nutritional substrates for precision pet food formulation

**Rishav Kumar and Ankit Sharma**

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.22271/veterinary.2024.v9.i3Sb.1400

**Abstract**
The pet food industry has witnessed significant growth globally, reflecting the evolving dynamics of pet ownership and consumer preferences. This paper examines the transformative trends in the pet food sector, particularly focusing on the shift towards natural and healthy options driven by the humanization of pets. Despite the increasing demand for these products, there exists a notable gap in scientific research regarding the effects of novel ingredients and manufacturing processes on pet health and nutrition. This paper identifies the need for comprehensive studies to address these gaps and optimize the formulation of pet food, considering the unique nutritional requirements and physiological differences among various pet species.

**Keywords:** Pet food market growth, humanization trend, grain-free pet food, natural pet nutrition

## Introduction
The pet food industry, once primarily focused on providing basic sustenance for companion animals, has evolved into a dynamic and multifaceted sector driven by shifting societal attitudes towards pet ownership. Historically, pets were often viewed as working animals or kept for practical purposes, such as hunting, herding, or pest control (Kumar *et al*., 2023) [37]. However, over the past few decades, there has been a significant cultural transformation in how pets are perceived and treated within households. Pets are no longer merely functional additions to the family; they are now considered cherished members, often referred to as fur babies or fur children. This profound shift in perspective, commonly referred to as the "humanization" of pets, has reshaped consumer expectations and behaviors in the pet food market. Pet owners increasingly view their animals as sentient beings deserving of the same level of care and consideration afforded to human family members. As a result, there has been a growing demand for pet food products that not only meet the nutritional needs of animals but also align with human dietary preferences and values. This trend towards natural, wholesome, and minimally processed pet food options reflects a broader cultural shift towards health-conscious consumption and ethical sourcing practices. Moreover, the prevalence of health and wellness trends in the human food industry has spilled over into the pet food market, with pet owners seeking out products that address specific health concerns and dietary restrictions. Grain-free formulations, for example, have gained popularity among pet owners who perceive grains as potential allergens or unnecessary fillers in their pets' diets. While these consumer-driven trends present lucrative opportunities for pet food manufacturers, they also pose significant challenges. Ensuring the safety, efficacy, and nutritional adequacy of pet food offerings requires rigorous scientific inquiry and regulatory oversight. However, there remains a notable gap in research regarding the long-term effects of novel ingredients, alternative processing methods, and specialized diets on pet health and well-being. Furthermore, the complexity of pet nutrition, compounded by the diverse dietary needs and physiological differences among various pet species, underscores the importance of tailored research methodologies and collaborative efforts across academia, industry, and regulatory agencies. Considering these challenges, there is a pressing need for continued investment in scientific research to advance our understanding of pet nutrition and inform evidence-based decision-making in the pet food industry.

By prioritizing transparency, traceability, and innovation, stakeholders can navigate the evolving landscape of pet ownership and meet the growing demands of discerning pet owners seeking the best possible nutrition for their beloved companions.

**Gap in research**

Despite the growing popularity of natural and grain-free pet food products, there exists a significant gap in scientific research concerning the utilization of novel ingredients and manufacturing techniques in pet food formulation. Limited studies have examined the long-term effects of alternative carbohydrate sources, such as vegetables and tubers, on the health and well-being of companion animals. Additionally, there is a dearth of research investigating the implications of non-traditional processing methods on the nutritional integrity and digestibility of pet food. This gap in knowledge hinders the industry's ability to optimize formulations and meet evolving consumer preferences while ensuring the overall health and vitality of pets.

**Pet food industry**

The financial scene of the pet food industry repeats these measurements, displaying surprising development. Starting around 2023, the worldwide pet food market income remains at a great $147.30 billion, projected to heighten yearly by 11.11% until 2027 (CAGR 2023-2027). Outstandingly, the Indian canine food market accomplished a size of $2.4 billion out of 2022, ready to climb to $3.5 billion by 2028, introducing a Build Yearly Development Rate (CAGR) of 6.1% during 2023-2028. The change isn't simply quantitative; the very phrasing encompassing pet proprietorship has gone through a huge shift. The advancement from "pet people" to "pet guardians" exemplifies the significant profound bond divided among people and their creature friends. Lots of people in the United States have dogs and cats as pets. According to a survey conducted by the American Pet Products Association in 2017-2018 found that over 60 million households have dogs and over 47 million have cats. The pet business has been filling a great deal in the beyond couple of years, and it's an important part of the U.S. economy. In 2018, Americans spent around $72.13 billion on their pets, which is 2% more than the prior year (APPA, 2018) [5]. The pet market has five fundamental parts: pet food, supplies/over-the-counter medication, veterinarian care, live creature buys, and pet administrations. Pet food is the greatest part, making up more than 40% of complete deals in 2018 (APPA, 2018) [5]. The connection between proprietors and pets is a major effect on the pet business. Pets are currently viewed as a component of the family, not at all like years and years prior when they were for the most part saved for functional reasons. This shift, known as the "acculturation" of pets, has changed the kinds of pet food. Presently, proprietors need to take care of their pets comparable food to what they eat. There's a developing pattern in the pet food industry towards more regular and good food. Animal people search for claims on pet food that address similar wellbeing concerns they have in their own weight control plans. One well known guarantee is "sans grain." Many animal people think grains like corn and rice are not great for their pets, so they pick without grain choices. These patterns and changes are not just about what pets eat; they likewise influence the economy (Kumar *et al*., 2023) [40]. The pet business is enormous, and the way individuals treat their pets hugely affects it.

Most of the things people buy for their pets are in the pet food category, making up more than 40% of all pet-related sales. In 2018 (APPA, 2018) [5]. Pet food can be parted into two principal types: one is finished and adjusted slims down, and the other is tidbits and treats. To be called finished and adjusted, a pet food item should have every one of the supplements a creature needs in the perfect sums. Then again, bites and treats are normally given to pets as a prize or for preparation, and they don't need to meet the pet's wholesome necessities (AAFCO, 2018) [1]. Most pet food deals are for finished and adjusted eats less carbs, and these are principally dry extended items. The principal method for making this sort of pet food is through a cycle called expulsion. In basic terms, expulsion is a ceaseless cycle where the material is made delicate and cooked utilizing a blend of dampness, pressure, temperature, mechanical shear, and nuclear power (Smith, 1976) [64]. Bites and treats are critical in the pet food market. While many treats are made by baking there are also many products made in different ways. Surprisingly, there isn't much information published about how snacks and treats are made and whether animals like them.

How people treat their pets affects the pet food industry a lot. Dissimilar to years and years prior when canines were mostly saved for viable reasons, presently they are viewed as a component of the family. This change, called the "acculturation" of pets, has prompted changes in the kinds of pet food. Proprietors currently need to take care of their pets comparative food to what they eat. Complete and adjusted eats less carbs must not only give pets all the nutrients they need but also meet the expectations of pet owners. Similarly, snacks and treats are made to meet what pet owners want, as they are given to pets to show love and affection (Kumar *et al*., 2023) [41].

More and more, pet owners are choosing natural and healthy food for their pets (Sprinkle, 2018) [67]. Commonly, animal people need pet food that arrangements with a similar medical problem they face. In this pattern, sans grain pet food has become exceptionally famous. A great deal of pet people think grains like corn and rice aren't great for their pets, so they see sans grain food as a better choice. In 2017, deals of without grain pet food increased by 10% in the U.S. pet specialty market and made up 53% of new pet food items (Phillips-Donaldson, 2018) [56]. Vegetables and tubers, like peas, chickpeas, potatoes, and custard, are a portion of the most compelling things utilized rather than customary grains in these weight control plans.

New fixings are significant for making new pet items and aiding pet food organizations stay serious (Freeman *et al*., 2018) [20]. Nonetheless, there may be an issue with how pet food is promoted contrasted with what we know deductively. Large numbers of these new fixings are being utilized in pet items absent a lot of exploration on what they mean for how the food is made and the soundness of the creatures (Kumar *et al*., 2023) [42]. Even though most vegetables and tubers in sans grain consumes less calories are made in various ways, there's not much of data distributed about how tidbits and treats are made and assuming creatures like them.

The way people treat their pets affects the pet food industry a lot. Unlike some decades ago when dogs were mainly kept for practical reasons, now they are seen as part of the family. This change, called the "humanization" of pets, has led to changes in the types of pet food. Owners now need to take care of their pets comparative food to what they eat. Complete and adjusted eating less must not only give pets all the nutrients

they need but also meet the expectations of pet owners. Similarly, snacks and treats are made to meet what pet owners want, as they are given to pets to show love and affection.

To an ever-increasing extent, pet people are picking regular and quality nourishment for their pets (Sprinkle, 2018) [67]. Ordinarily, animal people need pet food that arrangements with a similar medical problem they face. In this pattern, sans grain pet food has become extremely famous. A great deal of pet people think grains like corn and rice aren't great for their pets, so they see without grain food as a better choice. In 2017, deals of sans grain pet food increased 10% in the U.S. pet specialty market and made up 53% of new pet food items (Phillips-Donaldson, 2018) [56]. Vegetables and tubers, like peas, chickpeas, potatoes, and custard, are a portion of the central things utilized rather than conventional grains in these eating regimens. New fixings are significant for making new pet items and aiding pet food organizations stay serious (Freeman *et al*., 2018) [20]. Be that as it may, there may be an issue with how pet food is publicized contrasted with what we know experimentally. A significant number of these new fixings are being utilized in pet items absent a lot of exploration on what they mean for how the food is made and the strength of the creatures. As per Kumar *et al*., 2023 [37] usage of poultry side-effect is likewise a useful option for pet food. Even though most vegetables and tubers in sans grain eats less carbs are usually important for human weight control plans without causing issues for a long time, we don't know what they mean for canine nourishment. Grains, vegetables, and tubers are significant wellsprings of sugars. Nonetheless, everyone has interesting wholesome cosmetics and actual design that could influence how the food is made and the strength of the creatures. Thus, it's vital to concentrate on how without grain sugar sources contrast with grains as far as making the food and the soundness of the creatures to utilize them better in pet items.

**Traditional pet food performance**

Cereal grains have been used in pet food since they were first processed in 1954 (Kirk *et al*., 2008) [31]. They are a significant source of carbohydrates in pet food due to their nutritional value and their role in the extrusion process. Common grains in pet food include corn, rice, wheat, and oats, along with some pseudo cereals like sorghum and millet. A newer term gaining popularity is "ancient grain." While there's no official definition, ancient grains are generally considered to have been grown for a long time with minimal genetic changes. In human food, some ancient grains are seen as beneficial for cardiovascular and gastrointestinal health. Kumar *et al*., 2023 evaluated that by adding fibers such as carrot and French beans in pet food is good source of energy along with fibre. Oat grains have been utilized in pet food since they were first handled in 1954 (Kirk *et al*., 2008) [31]. They are a huge wellspring of starches in pet food because of their health benefit and their part in the expulsion cycle. Normal grains in pet food incorporate corn, rice, wheat, and oats, alongside a few pseudo cereals like sorghum and millet. A fresher term acquiring fame is "old grain." While there's no authority definition, old grains are for the most part considered to have been developed for quite a while with negligible hereditary changes. In human food, a few old grains are viewed as helpful for cardiovascular and gastrointestinal wellbeing. Kumar *et al*., 2023 assessed that by adding strands, for example, carrot and French beans in pet food is great wellspring of energy alongside fiber. Even though cereal grains have been used for a long time in both human and pet food, some people are unsure about giving them to dogs. The main concerns are: 1) some think they are just "fillers" with little nutrition; 2) people doubt their quality and safety; and 3) some believe they cause food allergies. However, these concerns are not backed by scientific evidence. As marketing experts often say, "perception is reality," so changing this view might be tough. While dogs don't need carbohydrates specifically, they do need glucose for their metabolism, and cereal grains provide this at a low cost. Cereal grains also contain essential amino acids, fatty acids, and vitamins (LaFlamme *et al*., 2014) [44]. For example, cereal grain proteins are a good source of methionine, an essential amino acid for dogs (Samaranayaka, 2016; NRC, 2006) [61]. Additionally, ingredients rich in carbohydrates provide dietary fiber, which is important for the health of the digestive system (Sivaprakasam *et al*., 2016) [63]. Because they are both nutritious and affordable, many prefer using cereal grains over some animal proteins (Beloshapka *et al*., 2016) [9]. Moreover, some cereal grains, like sorghum, may have health benefits worth exploring.

Even though cereal grains have been utilized for quite a while in both human and pet food, certain individuals are uncertain about giving them to canines. The fundamental worries are: 1) some think they are only "fillers" with little sustenance; 2) individuals question their quality and security; and 3) some accept they cause food sensitivities. Be that as it may, these worries are not upheld by logical proof. As advertising specialists frequently say, "insight is reality," so changing this view may be intense. While canines don't require starches explicitly, they truly do require glucose for their digestion, and oat grains give this for a minimal price. Oat grains additionally contain fundamental amino acids, unsaturated fats, and nutrients (LaFlamme *et al*., 2014) [44]. For instance, cereal grain proteins are a decent wellspring of methionine, a fundamental amino corrosive for canines (Samaranayaka, 2016; NRC, 2006) [61]. Furthermore, fixings wealthy in carbs give dietary fiber, which is significant for the soundness of the stomach related framework (Sivaprakasam *et al*., 2016) [63]. Since they are both nutritious and reasonable, many incline toward utilizing cereal grains over a few creature proteins (Beloshapka *et al*., 2016) [9]. Also, a few oat grains, like sorghum, may have medical advantages worth investigating.

Specific sorts of sorghum have substances like phenolic acids and consolidated tannins. While these could influence how the body digests protein (Duodu *et al*., 2002) [15], they are known to have cancer prevention agents and antiradical properties (Hagerman *et al*., 1998) [27]. Alvarenga and Aldrich (2018) [3] checked how well canines' bodies fended off harm brought about by free extremists when they were taken care of various eating regimens. One gathering ate an ordinary eating regimen in view of rice, wheat, and corn, while the others had consumed less calories with parts of processed sorghum. They found that canines eating entire sorghum or sorghum flour had comparable cancer prevention agent capacities as those on the customary eating routine. In any case, canines on a careful nutritional plan with a great deal of sorghum grain had far superior cell reinforcement capacities.

Cereal grains are wealthy in supplements for pets as well as pivotal for the expulsion cycle. They give design to the food during expulsion, framing a liquid that assists with restricting, development, and surface (Fellow, 2001; Maskan and Altan, 2012) [49]. Starch levels in pet food sources normally range from 20% to 65% (Riaz and Rokey, 2012) [60]. Although canines find it hard to process crude starch, the expulsion

cycle further develops how their bodies use carbs. The intensity and mechanical activity during expulsion make starch more straightforward to process, and canine weight control plans with rice, corn, and sorghum as sources showed 100 percent waste starch absorbability (Kumar *et al*., 2023) [40]. Carciofi *et al*. (2008) [13] likewise found high starch absorbability in canine food sources considering grains. It's intriguing that the size of oat grain particles influences starch edibility. For example, Bazolli *et al*. (2015) [7] found that sorghum and corn-based canine eating regimens need more modest particles for legitimate starch absorption, while rice is effectively absorbable regardless of whether it's coarsely ground.

The decision to make cereal grains can influence how well a canine process its food. Slims down with sorghum and corn as carb sources were found to have lower edibility contrasted with an eating regimen in view of rice (Carciofi *et al*., 2008) [13]. Kore *et al*. (2009) [32] likewise saw lower edibility of dry matter, natural matter, and all out sugars when sorghum supplanted rice in a canine's eating routine. While contrasting millet with sorghum, comparative edibility for most supplements was seen (Kore *et al*., 2009) [32].

Results about protein edibility in sorghum-based consumes less calories contrasted with rice and corn-based eats less are not steady. A few examinations, like Murray *et al*. (1999) [51], revealed diminished protein edibility in a sorghum-based diet contrasted with corn-based, however others, as, viewed it as the inverse. Tragically, these investigations didn't determine the kind of sorghum utilized. Different sorghum assortments have different sustenance and tannin content, which could make sense of the blended outcomes. The general lower edibility in sorghum diets could likewise be because of its higher fiber content and the presence of dense tannins (Carciofi *et al*., 2008) [13].

While canines by and large appreciate and profit from nutritious cereal grains, there have been worries about their wellbeing because of flare-ups of pet mycotoxicosis (Stenske *et al*., 2006) [68]. Mycotoxicosis can happen when canines devour food tainted with mycotoxins, which are substances created by specific parasites. This defilement can bring about medical problems, including serious cases that might prompt demise. Mycotoxins are versatile and can endure brutal circumstances like high temperatures and dampness, permitting them to endure the cycles used to make dry pet food, like expulsion and drying. Corn is in many cases the guilty party for mycotoxin defilement in pet food, with aflatoxins being a typical reason for mycotoxicosis (Boermans and Leung, 2007) [11]. Nonetheless, rice and wheat, broadly utilized in pet food, are likewise inclined to contagious development and potential mycotoxin tainting (Maia and Siqueira, 2002) [45].

In 1998, 55 canines in Texas died after eating canine food polluted with elevated degrees of aflatoxin (Bingham *et al*., 2004) [10]. Likewise, there was an episode in 2005-2006 where more than 100 canines became ill or died because of aflatoxin-defiled canine food from a similar brand (Stenske *et al*., 2006; Newman *et al*., 2007) [68, 53]. While there are no new reports of mycotoxin-defiled pet food, some monetarily accessible canine food sources have hints of them. Gazzotti *et al*. (2015) [24] tracked down various mycotoxins in canine food sources in Italy, with standard food varieties having a higher grouping of ochratoxin contrasted with premium food varieties. Albeit many of these mycotoxins were underneath the protected level laid out in Europe, the impacts of long-haul openness to low portions are at this point unclear.

While US pet food organizations are legally necessary to screen unrefined components, there can be mistakes in examining, prompting misleading negatives since mycotoxins are not uniformly conveyed in food.

Many animal people stress that cereal grains in their pet's eating regimen might cause sensitivities. In any case, food sensitivities in pets are somewhat phenomenal, representing under 10% of skin sensitivities in canines. Food sensitivities result from an overstated safe reaction to specific food parts, causing skin and gastrointestinal side effects. The primary guilty parties are proteins and glycoproteins (Laflamme *et al*., 2014) [44]. While oat grains contain proteins and can set off a responsiveness response in canines, the most well-known food allergens are creature proteins. Hamburger is accounted for as the top food allergen in canines, trailed by dairy, wheat, eggs, and chicken. Wheat is associated with 15% of distinguished instances of food sensitivity in canines. Corn and rice are seldom distinguished as dietary allergens in the writing and are not main pressing issues in diagnosing food sensitivities (Paterson, 1995) [54].

Even though rice isn't generally connected with food sensitivities, eats less carbs containing business rice and sheep feast have been connected to taurine lack in canines. Taurine is significant for heart wellbeing, yet it's not viewed as a fundamental amino corrosive for canines since they can hypothetically create enough when sulfur amino acids (methionine and cysteine) are available in adequate sums in their eating regimen (Malloy *et al*., 1981) [46]. It's accepted that diets prompting taurine inadequacy needed satisfactory sulfur amino acids, which could have brought about lacking taurine creation in canines. The low accessibility of sulfur amino acids in sheep dinner and the exhaustion of taurine by solvent fiber in rice grain were additionally considered entangling factors in these occasions.

While oat grains have been related with mycotoxin flare-ups, allergen insight, and explicit dietary uneven characters connected with taurine, they are still generally utilized and thought about safe. In any case, a few pet people stay away from pet food with these fixings, picking sans grain choices. The sans grain classification has developed essentially throughout the course of recent many years and presently comprises a significant piece of the market (Plantz, 2017) [57]. Notwithstanding, this development might be easing back, and the market is investigating new choices. The "antiquated grains" guarantee could change pet people's pessimistic impression of grains because of their apparent medical advantages. At the end of the day, this could be another choice to without grain slims down in the pet food market. Old grains are ordinarily those developed for a long time with insignificant hereditary change. Nonetheless, there is restricted distributed research regarding the utilization of old grains in pet food. At present, sorghum, millet, and spelt are the absolute most well-known antiquated grains utilized in the pet food industry.

Spelt is a kind of wheat with a husk, considered one of the most seasoned developed grains universally (Solarska *et al*., 2012) [65]. It's mostly utilized as an elective feed grain (Herbek, 2012) [28], yet its prevalence as a food grain is expanding because of its health benefit. Spelt has higher protein, lipid, and vitamin B contents contrasted with conventional wheat (Escarnot *et al*., 2012) [16]. Although spelt has been for the most part read up for baking applications like bread (Marques *et al*., 2007; Ranhotra *et al*., 1995) [48, 58], there is no distributed data about its utilization as a dietary fixing in canine food sources.

Millet is a warm-season oat known for its great protein quality and elevated degrees of phytochemicals (Shahidi and Chandrasekara, 2013) [62]. In the US and Canada, it's essentially utilized for feed and bird seeds. In any case, it's likewise present in dry, canned, and treat canine items. Among these, millet is most ordinarily utilized in dry food sources, saw as in almost 6% of dry canine food varieties available (Petfood Industry, 2017) [55]. Assessed the absorbability and metabolizable energy of some expelled starch hotspots for canines.

They tracked down comparative metabolizable energy and absorbability for millet, sorghum, high oil maize, and for the reference diet considering maize and poultry result feast. Contrasted with millet and spelt, sorghum is more generally utilized as a fixing in pet food. This grain is available in more than 130 pet food items accessible on the lookout (Checkoff, 2017) [66]. The higher commonness of sorghum in pet items contrasted with other antiquated grains may be because of its worldwide significance and prevalence. Sorghum is positioned among the five most significant harvests around the world (Agrama and Tuinstra, 2003) [2]. It is known for its flexibility, filling in as grain, scrounge, and a sweet yield, and for its high resilience to dry season and high temperatures. The US has been the world's top maker of sorghum, with Kansas driving the country in its creation (USDA, 2016). While distributed research has assessed the utilization of sorghum as a sole starch source in canine food, most items guaranteed as "old grain" are figured out with a blend of at least two grains.

Besides, old grains show guarantee as elements for pet treats, emphatically influencing the strength of creatures. Among the old grains referenced before, sorghum stands apart with more noteworthy potential in the treat market, as it's as of now usually utilized in complete and adjusted counts calories. Nonetheless, sorghum is right now not broadly utilized in pet treats, perhaps because of restricted development and market openness. Investigating better approaches to integrate sorghum into canine treats presents a chance for the pet food industry. A new report found that expelled sorghum flour diminished adipogenic qualities, constant irritation, and weight gain in fat rodents (Arbex *et al*., 2018) [6]. Expelled sorghum flour could have comparable impacts on canines, making another market for this grain in pet treats. Notwithstanding, the expulsion cycle of sorghum flour wasn't assessed by the past creators. Laying out handling conditions is pivotal while acquainting another item with the market. Also, there are not many investigations portraying the interaction for processing sorghum and the nature of sorghum flour. The structure and usefulness of sorghum flour can change contingent upon the sorghum assortment, offering various applications in the pet food industry (Kumar and Goswami, 2024).

**Grain-free pet food performance**

Pet owners' rising propensity to regard pets as relatives has driven the pet food industry to zero in on items seen as sound and regular. Grains are occasionally considered undesirable by some, prompting the ascent of without grain eats less carbs in the pet food industry. These weight control plans use vegetables and tubers as essential substitutes for oat grains. People have consumed vegetables and tubers for quite a long time without critical issues. Notwithstanding, it's critical to take note of that human weight control plans are assorted, not normal for the single eating routine took care of two canines, which should give every fundamental supplement. Totally supplanting oat grains in pet food with elective fixings like vegetables and tubers presents difficulties for creature nutritionists and handling administrators. Vegetables, like peas, chickpeas, and lentils, are esteemed for their seeds wealthy in energy and protein (Jezierny *et al*., 2010). [30] They are generally remembered for sans grain canine eating regimens, showing up in around 5%, 11.5%, and 7.8% of dry canine food recipes, separately (Plantz, 2017) [57] (Kumar and Goswami, 2024). Tubers, for example, potatoes and custard starch, act as critical energy sources however offer less dietary protein. Data on what vegetables and tubers mean for pet food expulsion is restricted in writing. Most existing exploration centers on their utilization in human food expulsion or as sole fixings to survey their usefulness. Tuber starches, similar to those from potatoes and custard, are perceived as compelling folios, frequently prompting a smoother kibble surface even at low levels (Riaz, 2007) [60]. Starch sources like potatoes and custard tend to gelatinize at lower temperatures than cereal grains, bringing about expanded enlarging when in touch with water. The way of behaving during expulsion is additionally impacted by the granule size of the starch source. Potato starch, with its bigger oval granules, displays high soften consistency when blended in with water and intensity, prompting early liquefying in the extruder (Della Valle *et al*., 1995) [14]. Furthermore, the higher enlarging power found in potato starch might be credited to the presence of adversely charged phosphate bunches restricting to the starch atom.

Vegetables, conversely, contain more protein and less sugars and are not as critical in shaping the design contrasted with grains and tubers. By the by, plant and vegetable proteins assume a significant part in expulsion because of their superb water retention and restricting properties. Subsequently, more significant levels of these fixings require the expansion of more dampness all the while (Riaz, 2007) [60] & (Kumar and Goswami, 2024). The effect of dehulled faba beans on the expulsion of dry canine food was investigated by Alvarenga and Aldrich (2019 & Kumar *et al*., 2024) [4]. Their discoveries uncovered those rising degrees of dehulled faba beans required higher water expansion all the while, bringing about a straight lessening in unambiguous mechanical energy. Thus, kibbles turned out to be less extended and harder as the degree of dehulled faba beans expanded. As far as their healthful substance, vegetables are rich wellsprings of sugars, B nutrients, and minerals. Despite being a decent carb source, vegetables produce a moderate glycemic reaction in canines. Carciofi *et al*. (2008) [13] noticed a lower glycemic file and lower edibility in canines took care of diets containing peas and lentils contrasted with those on a grain-based diet. Comparable discoveries were accounted for by Bednar *et al*. (2001) [8], who credited these outcomes to a higher convergence of fiber and a lower extent of quickly edible starch in vegetables contrasted with oat grains.

Moreover, vegetables essentially add to the protein content of sans grain consumes less calories, containing roughly two times the unrefined protein content of oat grains (Bednar *et al*., 2001 Kumar *et al*., 2024) [8]. The nature of a protein not set in stone by its amino corrosive piece and accessibility, as well as the presence of antinutritional factors. While vegetables are a rich wellspring of lysine, they need sulfur amino acids - methionine and cysteine (Gatel, 1994) [23]. This lack is credited to the lower content of sulfur amino acids in the primary stockpiling protein of vegetables, known as globulin (Gueguen and Baniel, 1990) [25]. Methionine and cysteine are fundamental amino acids for canines, filling in as

both structure blocks of protein in the body and assuming significant parts in natural capabilities. Thusly, cautious consideration ought to be paid to the sulfur amino corrosive substance while forming a sans grain diet for canines. In 2018, the Food and Medication Organization (FDA) gave an admonition letter (FDA, 2018) [7] about an expected association between eating less and widened cardiomyopathy (DCM). DCM is a condition portrayed by the broadening of the heart chamber and diminished heart muscle contractility. This weakens the typical siphoning of blood, possibly prompting abrupt demise. While some enormous canine varieties are hereditarily inclined toward DCM (Freeman *et al*., 2001; Vollmar *et al*., 2013; Kumar *et al*., 2024) [19], there were instances of non-helpless canine varieties fostering the condition while consuming sans grain and "shop abstains from food." This raised worries about the effect of these weight control plans.

Ordinary heart capability depends on sufficient degrees of circling taurine. Taurine, a superfluous amino sulfone, is normally created in the body from sulfur amino acids (Brosnan and Brosnan, 2006). Some without grain diets might need adequate bioavailable methionine and cysteine. This inadequacy could prompt lower taurine union, possibly influencing heart wellbeing. Furthermore, dissimilar to oat grains, vegetables are wealthy in dissolvable fiber like oligosaccharides, which could affect taurine levels because of misfortunes during maturation in the stomach (Ko and Fascetti, 2016).Modest quantities of oligosaccharides can be great for pets, yet an excessive amount of can create issues. Canines can't process these parts well since they need α-1,6 galactosidase in the small digestive system (Mohan *et al*., 2016). Extreme oligosaccharides can prompt an excessive amount of maturation in the colon, bringing about delicate stools and even loose bowels (Saini, 1989; Mul and Perry, 1994). Furthermore, concentrations in rodents have connected solvent fiber to expanded creation of bile acids (Garcia-Diez *et al*., 1995) and decreased movement of liver proteins associated with taurine biosynthesis (Ide, 1998). A new report by Ko and Fascetti (2016) found lower blood taurine levels in canines took care of solvent fiber from beet mash contrasted with those took care of insoluble filaments from cellulose.

Involving such many vegetables and tubers in pet food could lead to dietary issues. Be that as it may, if sans grain slims down have moderate levels of these fixings, they could act in much the same way to abstains from food with grains. It's essential to evaluate novel fixings to stay away from dietary irregular characteristics. Simply meeting the canine's essential wholesome necessities, as illustrated by the Relationship of American Feed Control Authorities (AAFCO, 2018) [1], isn't sufficient to check canine food quality. While making another item, it's vital to think about supplement accessibility, collaborations, and what handling conditions might mean for them (Mansilla *et al*., 2019) [47]. As of now, there's restricted distributed research on the dietary or interaction impacts of sans grain pet weight control plans. Accordingly, concentrating on the effect of sans grain consumes less calories contrasted with grain-put together eating regimens with respect to handling conditions and supplement use could give significant experiences to the pet food industry.

**Challenges in research**

Addressing the research gap poses several challenges to scientists and pet food manufacturers alike. Firstly, conducting comprehensive studies on the effects of novel ingredients requires significant financial resources and collaborative efforts between academia, industry, and regulatory agencies. Moreover, the diverse nutritional requirements and physiological differences among various pet species necessitate tailored research methodologies and experimental designs. Additionally, the rapid pace of innovation in the pet food industry exacerbates the challenge of maintaining scientific rigor while keeping pace with market demands. Furthermore, ethical considerations regarding the use of companion animals in research add another layer of complexity to study design and implementation. Overcoming these challenges requires a concerted effort to prioritize scientific inquiry, foster interdisciplinary collaborations, and uphold ethical standards in pet food research and development.

**Prospects**

The future of the pet food industry holds immense promise, driven by ongoing shifts in consumer preferences, technological advancements, and scientific innovation. Several key trends and developments are expected to shape the trajectory of the industry in the coming years: Continued Focus on Natural and Healthy Options: Consumer demand for natural, organic, and nutritionally balanced pet food is projected to persist, driving manufacturers to prioritize the use of high-quality ingredients and innovative formulations. This trend aligns with the growing awareness of the importance of pet nutrition in promoting overall health and well-being.

**Advancements in ingredient sourcing and formulation:** The pet food industry is likely to witness further advancements in ingredient sourcing and formulation techniques, with an emphasis on novel protein and carbohydrate sources, such as insect-based proteins and alternative grains. These innovations aim to address sustainability concerns, reduce environmental impact, and offer diverse dietary options for pets with specific dietary needs or sensitivities. Personalized Nutrition Solutions: With advancements in data analytics and pet health monitoring technology, the concept of personalized nutrition for pets is expected to gain traction. Manufacturers may leverage data-driven insights to develop customized pet food formulations tailored to individual pets' age, breed, activity level, and health status, optimizing nutritional adequacy and promoting longevity.

**Enhanced transparency and traceability:** Increasing consumer demand for transparency and accountability in the pet food supply chain is likely to drive industry-wide efforts to enhance traceability, labeling transparency, and regulatory compliance. Manufacturers may adopt blockchain technology and other traceability solutions to provide consumers with real-time access to information about ingredient sourcing, manufacturing processes, and product safety. Focus on Sustainability and Eco-Friendly Packaging: As environmental sustainability becomes a growing concern among consumers, pet food manufacturers are expected to prioritize eco-friendly packaging materials and sustainable production practices. This includes the adoption of recyclable, compostable, or biodegradable packaging options, as well as efforts to minimize food waste and carbon footprint throughout the supply chain. Integration of Functional Ingredients and Nutraceuticals: The integration of functional ingredients and nutraceuticals into pet food formulations is poised to expand, driven by growing interest in holistic pet health and preventive care. Ingredients such as probiotics, prebiotics,

antioxidants, and botanical extracts may be incorporated into pet food to support digestive health, immune function, joint health, and cognitive function.

**Regulatory evolution and standardization:** The pet food industry is likely to witness continued regulatory evolution and standardization efforts aimed at ensuring product safety, efficacy, and nutritional integrity. Regulatory agencies may collaborate with industry stakeholders to establish clear guidelines, standards, and labeling requirements for pet food products, fostering consumer confidence and market transparency.

## Conclusion

The transition towards grain-free pet diets, driven by a societal shift towards perceiving pets as family members, introduces both opportunities and challenges. While alternative ingredients like legumes and tubers offer potential nutritional benefits, their utilization necessitates a nuanced understanding of their impact on pet health and food processing. The FDA's cautionary note regarding a potential link between grain-free diets and dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) underscores the importance of rigorous scientific inquiry. Addressing this concern requires comprehensive research to elucidate the intricate interplay of dietary components, processing methodologies, and their repercussions on taurine synthesis and cardiac function in pets. The emergence of "ancient grains" as a compromise warrants scientific scrutiny to discern their impact on pet nutrition and food manufacturing processes. Striking a balance between consumer preferences, nutritional adequacy, and health considerations demands continued collaboration between researchers, pet food manufacturers, and regulatory bodies. A robust evidence-based approach is indispensable for shaping the future trajectory of pet nutrition and ensuring the well-being of our companion animals.

## References


1. AAFCO. Association of American Feed Control Officials. Oxford. In: Official Publication; c2018.
2. Agrama HA, Tuinstra MR. Phylogenetic diversity and relationships among sorghum accessions using SSRs and RAPDs. Afr J Biotechnol. 2003;2(10):334-340.
3. Alvarenga IC, Aldrich CG. The Effect of Increasing Levels of Dehulled Faba Beans (*Vicia faba* L.) on Extrusion and Product Parameters for Dry Expanded Dog Food. Foods. 2019;8(1):26.
4. Alvarenga IC, Aldrich CG. The effect of sorghum fractions on apparent total tract digestibility and antioxidant capacity by dogs. PloS One. 2018;13(10):e0206090.
5. APPA. Pet Industry Market Size & Ownership Statistics: Estimated 2015 Sales within the U.S. Market. Viewed 20 Dec 2018. Available from: https://www.americanpetproducts.org/press_industrytrends.asp. 2018.
6. Arbex PM, *et al*. Extruded sorghum flour (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) modulates adiposity and inflammation in high-fat diet-induced obese rats. J Funct Foods. 2018;42:346-355.
7. Bazolli RS, *et al*. Effect of the particle size of maize, rice, and sorghum in extruded diets for dogs on starch gelatinization, digestibility, and the fecal concentration of fermentation products. J Anim Sci. 2015;93(6):2956-2966.
8. Bednar GE, *et al*. Starch and Fiber Fractions in Selected Food and Feed Ingredients Affect Their Small Intestinal Digestibility and Fermentability and Their Large Bowel Ferment ability *in vitro* in a Canine Model. J Nutr. 2001;131(2):276-286.
9. Beloshapka A, *et al*. Compositional analysis of whole grains, processed grains, grain co-products, and other carbohydrate sources with applicability to pet animal nutrition. Foods. 2016;5(2):23.
10. Bingham AK, *et al*. Identification and reduction of urinary aflatoxin metabolites in dogs. Food Chem Toxicol. 2004;42(11):1851-1858.
11. Boermans HJ, Leung MC. Mycotoxins and the pet food industry: toxicological evidence and risk assessment. Int J Food Microbiol. 2007;119(1-2):95-102.
12. Brosnan JT, Brosnan ME. The sulfur-containing amino acids: an overview. J Nutr. 2006;136(6):1636S-1640S.
13. Carciofi AC, *et al*. Effects of six carbohydrate sources on dog diet digestibility and post-prandial glucose and insulin response. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr. 2008;92(3):326-336.
14. Della Valle G, *et al*. The extrusion behaviour of potato starch. Carbohydr Polym. 1995;28(3):255-264.
15. Duodu KG, *et al*. Effect of grain structure and cooking on sorghum and maize *in vitro* protein digestibility. J Cereal Sci. 2002;35(2):161-174.
16. Escarnot E, *et al*. Comparative study of the content and profiles of macronutrients in spelt and wheat: a review. BASE. 2012.
17. FDA. FDA Investigating potential connection between diet and cases of canine heart disease. Food and Drug Administration. Viewed 25 Jan 2018. Available from: https://www.fda.gov/animalveterinary/newsevents/cvmupdates/ucm613305.htm. 2018.
18. Fortes CMLS, *et al*. Digestibility and metabolizable energy of some carbohydrate sources for dogs. Anim Feed Sci Technol. 2010;156(3-4):121-125.
19. Freeman LM, *et al*. Relationship between circulating and dietary taurine concentrations in dogs with dilated cardiomyopathy. Vet Ther. 2001;2(4):370-378.
20. Freeman LM, *et al*. Diet-associated dilated cardiomyopathy in dogs: what do we know?. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2018;253(11):1390-1394.
21. Garcia-Diez F, *et al*. Pectin feeding influences fecal bile acid excretion, hepatic bile acid and cholesterol synthesis and serum cholesterol in rats. J Nutr. 1996;126(7):1766-1771.
22. Garland T, Reagor J. Chronic canine aflatoxicosis and management of an epidemic. Mycotoxins and phycotoxins in perspective at the turn of the millennium. Wageningen, The Netherlands: Ponsen and Looven. 2001;231-236.
23. Gatel F, Baniel A. Protein quality of legume seeds for non-ruminant animals: a literature review. Anim Feed Sci Technol. 1994;45(3-4):317-348.
24. Gazzotti T, *et al*. Occurrence of mycotoxins in extruded commercial dog food. Anim Feed Sci Technol. 2015;202:81-89.
25. Gueguen J, Baniel A. Prospects of breeding peas (*Pisum sativum* L.) for protein. In: Quality of French cereals, oilseeds and protein-rich plants used in animal feeding. Proceedings of the 41st meeting of the European Association of Animal Production, Toulouse, France, 6 July 1990. Institute Technique des Céréales et des Fourrages. 1990;87-92.

26. Guy R. Extrusion Cooking: Technologies and Applications. Wood head publishing. Cambridge, UK. 2001.
27. Hagerman AE, *et al*. High molecular weight plant polyphenolics (tannins) as biological antioxidants. J Agric Food Chem. 1998;46(5):1887-1892.
28. Herbek J. Spelt. UK Cooperative extension service. Viewed 27 Jan 2019. Available from: http://www.uky.edu/ccd/sites/www.uky.edu.ccd/files/spelt.pdf. 2012.
29. Ide T. Dietary regulation of hepatic enzymes in taurine biosynthesis in rats. J Nutr. Biochem. 1998;9(2):99-105.
30. Jezierny D, *et al*. The use of grain legumes as a protein source in pig nutrition: A review. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 2010;157(3-4):111-128.
31. Kirk RE, Othmer DF, Seidel A. Food and feed technology, vol 1. John Wiley & Sons Inc. New Jersey. 2008.
32. Kore KB, *et al*. Evaluation of alternative cereal sources in dog diets: effect on nutrient utilization and hindgut fermentation characteristics. J Sci Food Agric. 2009;89(13):2174-2180.
33. Kumar R, Goswami M, Pathak V. Innovations in pet nutrition: investigating diverse formulations and varieties of pet food: mini review. MOJ Food Process Technols. 2024;12(1):86-89.
34. Kumar R, Goswami M. Harnessing poultry slaughter waste for sustainable pet nutrition: a catalyst for growth in the pet food industry. J Dairy Vet Anim Res. 2024;13(1):31-33.
35. Kumar R, Goswami M. Feathered nutrition: unlocking the potential of poultry byproducts for healthier pet foods. Acta Sci. Vet. 2024. http://dx.doi.org/10.31080/ASVS.2024.06.0868
36. Kumar R, Goswami M. Optimizing Pet Food Formulations with Alternative Ingredients and Byproducts. Acta Sci. Vet. 2024. http://dx.doi.org/10.31080/ASVS.2024.06.0869
37. Kumar R, Goswami M, Pathak V. Enhancing Microbiota Analysis, Shelf-life, and Palatability Profile in Affordable Poultry Byproduct Pet Food Enriched with Diverse Fibers and Binders. J Anim Res. 2023;13(05):815-831. DOI: 10.30954/2277-940X.05.2023.24
38. Kumar R, Goswami M, Pathak V. Gas Chromatography Based Analysis of Fatty Acid Profiles in Poultry Byproduct-Based Pet Foods: Implications for Nutritional Quality and Health Optimization. Asian J Res Biochem. 2024;14(4):1-17. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajrb/2024/v14i4289
39. Kumar R, Goswami M, Pathak V, Singh A. Effect of binder inclusion on poultry slaughterhouse byproducts incorporated pet food characteristics and palatability. Anim Nutr Feed Technol. 2024;24(1):177-191. DOI: 10.5958/0974-181X.2024.00013.1
40. Kumar R, Goswami M, Pathak V, Bharti SK, Verma AK, Rajkumar V, Patel P. Utilization of poultry slaughter byproducts to develop cost-effective dried pet food. Anim Nutr Technol. 2023;23:165-174. DOI: 10.5958/0974-181X.2023.00015.X
41. Kumar R, Goswami M, Pathak V, Verma AK, Rajkumar V. Quality improvement of poultry slaughterhouse byproducts-based pet food with incorporation of fiber-rich vegetable powder. Explor. Anim. Med Res. 2023;13(1):54-61. DOI: 10.52635/eamr/13.1.54-61
42. Kumar R, Thakur A, Sharma A. Comparative prevalence assessment of subclinical mastitis in two crossbred dairy cow herds using the California mastitis test. J Dairy Vet Anim. Res. 2023;12(2):98-102. http://dx.doi.org/10.15406/jdvar.2023.12.00331
43. Kumar R., *et al*. Promoting Pet Food Sustainability: Integrating Slaughterhouse By-products and Fibrous Vegetables Waste. Acta Sci Vet. 2024;6(5):07-11. DOI: 10.31080/ASVS.2024.06.0871
44. Laflamme D, *et al*. Myths and misperceptions about ingredients used in commercial pet foods. Vet Clin Small Anim Pract. 2014;44(4):689-698.
45. Maia PP, Pereira Bastos de Siqueira ME. Occurrence of aflatoxins B 1, B 2, G 1 and G 2 in some Brazilian pet foods. Food Addit Contam. 2002;19(12):1180-1183.
46. Malloy MH, *et al*. Development of taurine metabolism in beagle pups: Effects of taurine-free total parenteral nutrition. Neonatology. 1981;40(1-2):1-8.
47. Mansilla WD, *et al*. Special topic: The association between pulse ingredients and canine dilated cardiomyopathy: addressing the knowledge gaps before establishing causation. J Anim Sci. 2019.
48. Marques C, *et al*. Comparison of glycemic index of spelt and wheat bread in human volunteers. Food Chem. 2007;100(3):1265-1271.
49. Maskan M, Altan A. Advances in Food Extrusion Technology. CRC Press. Boca Raton, FL.
50. Mohan VR, *et al*. Antinutritional Factors in Legume Seeds: Characteristics and Determination.
51. Murray SM, *et al*. Evaluation of selected high-starch flours as ingredients in canine diets. J Anim Sci. 1999;77(8):2180-2186.
52. National Research Council. Nutrient Requirements of Dogs and Cats. The National Academies Press. Washington, DC. 2006.
53. Newman SJ, *et al*. Aflatoxicosis in nine dogs after exposure to contaminated commercial dog food. J Vet Diagn Invest. 2007;19(2):168-175.
54. Paterson S. Food hypersensitivity in 20 dogs with skin and gastrointestinal signs. J Small Anim Pract. 1995;36(12):529-534.
55. Pet food Industry. Millet used as an alternate grain in dog food. Pet food Industry. Viewed 30 Dec 2018. Available from: https://www.petfoodindustry.com/articles/7043-millet-used-as-an-alternate-grain-in-dog-food. 2017.
56. Phillips-Donaldson. Are grain-free pet foods truly healthy and sustainable? Pet food Industry. Viewed 22 Dec 2018. Available from: https://www.petfoodindustry.com/articles/7396-are-grain-free-pet-foods-truly-healthy-and-sustainable. 2018.
57. Plantz B. Why chickpeas, peas and lentils are in more dog and cat food formulas. Pet food Industry. Viewed 2 Jan 2019. Available from: https://www.petfoodindustry.com/articles/7039-why-chickpeas-peas-and-lentils-are-in-more-dog-and-cat-food-formulas. 2017.
58. Ranhotra GS, *et al*. Baking and nutritional qualities of a spelt wheat sample. LWT-Food Sci. Technol. 1995;28(1):118-122.
59. Riaz MN. Extruders and expanders in pet food, aquatic and livestock feeds. Agrimedia GmbH. Clenze, Germany. 2007.
60. Riaz MN, Rockey GL. Extrusion problems solved: food, pet food and feed. Wood head Publishing. Cambridge, UK.

61. Samaranayaka A. “Lentil: Revival of Poor Man’s Meat”, in Nadathur S. Sustainable Protein Sources. Academic Press. Cambridge, MA. 2016.
62. Shahidi F, Chandrasekara A. Millet grain phenolics and their role in disease risk reduction and health promotion: A review. J Funct Foods. 2013;5(2):570-581.
63. Sivaprakasam S, *et al*. Benefits of short-chain fatty acids and their receptors in inflammation and carcinogenesis. Pharmacol Ther. 2016;164:144-151.
64. Smith OB. Extrusion cooking. In: New Protein Foods, ed. Altschul NM, New York: Academic Press. 1976. pp. 86-121.
65. Solarska E, *et al*. The occurrence of mycotoxins in organic spelt products. J Plant Prot Res. 2012;52(2):190-195.
66. Sorghum Checkoff. Sorghum: A smart commercial pet food ingredient. Sorghum Check off. Viewed 25 Jan 2019. Available from: http://www.sorghumcheckoff.com/news-and-media/newsroom/2017/04/10/sorghum-a-smart-commercial-pet-food-ingredient/. 2017.
67. Sprinkle D. Natural pet food claims continue to appeal to pet owners. Petfood Industry. Viewed 22 Dec 2018. Available from: https://www.petfoodindustry.com/articles/7185-natural-pet-food-claims-continue-to-appeal-to-pet-owners?v=preview. 2018.
68. Stenske KA, *et al*. Aflatoxicosis in dogs and dealing with suspected contaminated commercial foods. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2006;228(11):1686-1691.

**How to Cite This Article**
Kumar R, Sharma A. Deciphering new nutritional substrates for precision pet food formulation. International Journal of Veterinary Sciences and Animal Husbandry. 2024;SP-9(3):76-84.